

Rochester, NY 14644

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,794	04/14/2004	Robert C. U. Yu	D/A2533Q 6665	
75	90 06/08/2006	EXAMINER		
Patent Docum	etation Center	RODEE, CHRISTOPHER D		
Xerox Corporat	ion			
Xerox Square 20th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
100 Clinton Ave. S.,			1756	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		-	Anni	ication No.	Applicant(s)	
<b>0</b> #:-			Appr	ication No.		
		Action Cummons		24,794	YU ET AL.	
Οπις	JIIIC	Action Summary	Exan	niner	Art Unit	
			•	topher RoDee	1756	
<i> Th</i> Period for Re		ING DATE of this commu	nication appears o	n the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	address
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to r Any reply r	VER IS of time n ) MONTI d for repli eply within eccived b	S LONGER, FROM THE Nay be available under the provision IS from the mailing date of this com	MAILING DATE O s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. tatutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause the	F THIS COMMUN no event, however, may and will expire SIX (6) Mo ne application to become	a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status						
1)☐ Res	ponsiv	ve to communication(s) fil	ed on		•	
·	-	, ,	2b)⊠ This action	n is non-final		
<u> </u>			, —		atters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
<i>,</i> —		accordance with the pract		•	•	
Disposition of		·	·	• •	·	
<u> </u>		-20 is/are pending in the	annlication			
•		above claim(s) is/a	• •	m consideration		
		is/are allowed.				
· <u> </u>		-9 and 11-20 is/are rejec	ted			
		0 is/are objected to.				
· <u> </u>		are subject to restri	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.		
Application I		•		·		
• •	-	ication is objected to by the	o Evaminar			
		ng(s) filed on is/are		or h)□ objected t	o by the Evaminer	
-		<del></del>	,— ,		ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
					ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (	CER 1 121(d)
		• ,,	~	•	ed Office Action or form F	• •
Priority unde		•				
•		Igment is made of a claim	for foreign priorit	v under 25 II S.C.	8 110(a) (d) or (f)	
		Some * c)☐ None of:	rior loreign prioni	y under 35 O.S.C.	. 9 119(a)-(u) or (1).	
a)∟.∧ 1.□		ified copies of the priority	documents have	heen received		
_	-	tified copies of the priority			Application No.	
3.□	_				en received in this Nationa	al Stane
٠.١	- ,	lication from the Internati	, ,			ii Otage
* See t		ached detailed Office action	,	,	ot received.	
				23.134 30pi00 iii		
Attack						
Attachment(s)	Onforer:	one Cited (PTO 902)		A) []	u Cummon (DTO 442)	
		es Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (	PTO-948)		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) 🛛 Informatio	n Disclo	sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o		5) D Notice o	f Informal Patent Application (P	TO-152)
Paper No(	s)/Mail [	Date <u>4/14/04</u> .		6)  Other: _	<del></del> ,	

Art Unit: 1756

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: the resistivity of the ground strip layer has a typographical error in the super script 10<sup>7</sup>. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 and 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al. in US Patent 5,382,486 in view of Service in US Patent 6,932,921.

Yu discloses a layered electrophotographic imaging member comprising a support substrate **32**, a blocking layer **34**, a charge transport layer **40**, charge generator layer **38**, a conductive ground strip **41**, and an anti-curl back coating **33** as seen in Figure 3 (col. 8, l. 47 – col. 12, l. 66). The substrate is a flexible belt, which may be positioned around rollers (i.e., a drelt) (col. 8, l. 33-60). The surface resistivity of the ground strip layer is less than 1 x 10<sup>6</sup> ohms per square (col. 13, l. 48-53). The conductive ground strip layer contains an acid-doped poyaniline dispersed in a binder resin (col. 14, l. 44 – col. 15, l. 68; Examples IX-XI), but may also contain graphite (col. 13, l. 21). Makrolon 5705 is disclosed as a useful ground strip layer (col. 18, l. 27-31), which is a bisphenol A polycarbonate (see spec. ¶ [0044]). The polyaniline is

Art Unit: 1756

treated with an acid such as a sulfuric acid (col. 15, I. 26). The amount of the electrically conductive particles controls the resisitivity of the ground strip layer (col. 13, I. 18-44) with an amount of less than about 35 weight percent useful (col. 13, I. 39-42). A suitable thickness of the ground strip layer is 17 microns (col. 16, I. 26-31). Yu does not disclose the lignin sulfonic acid doped polyaniline dispersion of the instant claims but Service teaches that conductive polymer films made from a dispersion of lignosulfonic acid doped polyaniline in a polymer have surface resistivities of from about 10<sup>2</sup> to about 10<sup>10</sup> ohms per square (Abstract; col. 6, I. 55-59). The lignosulfonic acid doped polyaniline is effectively dispersed in a fluoropolymer composition, such as polyvinyl fluorides, to form the conductive films of the invention (col. 2, I. 49 – col. 3, I. 51; col. 6, I. 60-67). The fluoropolymers can also be used as a dispersion (col. 3, I. 55) with PTFE as a specifically disclosed fluoropolymer (col. 3, I. 22 & 55). The reference also teaches that conductivity can be controlled by the grinding time of the polyaniline (col. 7, I. 11-19). Metal particles can also be added to the composition to control the conductivity (col. 7, I. 12-19).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the lignin sulfonic acid doped polyaniline dispersion conductive composition of Service as the ground strip layer in Yu because Yu desires a conductive composition for the ground strip layer and teaches that sulfonic acid-modified polyaniline is effective for this purpose. Silence discloses a specific composition made from a sulfonic acid modified polyaniline that is conductive and has surface resistivities within the range desired by Yu. Given the environmental safety of Silence's composition (Silence: col. 1, I. 23-25) and the fact that it is concerned with similar problems to those of Yu there is ample motivation to use this composition to form a ground strip layer in Yu's photoreceptor.

Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu et al. in US Patent 5,382,486 in view of Service in US Patent 6,932,921 as applied to claims 1-9 and 11-18 above, and further in view of Handbook of Imaging Materials to Diamond pp. 149-164.

Yu and Silence were described above. The references do not disclose the specifics of the image forming apparatus but Diamond teaches the conventional components of an imaging forming apparatus containing a photoreceptor. These components include a development component, a transfer component, and a fixing component.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Yu's photoreceptor as modified by Silence, as discussed above, in the conventional imaging apparatus as taught by Diamond because this permits the production of images quickly in both home and office settings.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher RoDee whose telephone number is 571-272-1388. The examiner can normally be reached on most weekdays from 6:00 to 4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/824,794

Art Unit: 1756

4750

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

cdr 5 June 2006

CHRISTOPHER RODEE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5